

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/678,890	10/04/2000	Steven Ausnit	769-264	7038
29540	7590 03/30/2004		EXAMINER	
PITNEY, HARDIN, KIPP & SZUCH LLP			KIM, EUGENE LEE	
685 THIRD AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10017-4024			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-,		3721	

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

76. 1 Ag. 1 Ag. 1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/678,890	AUSNIT, STEVEN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Eugene L Kim	3721	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/23	/2004.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document			
2. Certified copies of the priority document	·		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea	•	and it was	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not re	eceivea.	
	•		
Attachment(s)		(DTO 412)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	•	

Application/Control Number: 09/678,890

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 1 recites that each of the first and second interlocking element has first and second flanges. However, from the figures and specification it appears that each interlocking profile element has one flange, 33 for male profile and 34 for female profile. Clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yeager (#5,823,933) in view of Ouchi (#6,068,585). Yeager discloses that the film roll 54 can be prepared by connecting fastener 26 to a flat sheet of film at seals 40, 46. As

Application/Control Number: 09/678,890

Art Unit: 3721

shown in figure 5, Yeager shows the bottom profile element with a flange attached at seal line 44 and the top profile member attached with a flange at seal line 40.

Therefore, this reads on the currently recited claim. Regarding the profile having a first and second flange, the examiner rejected this limitation under 112 1st paragraph as discussed supra. Yeager does not show attaching the strip along a machine direction or a second continuous strip as claimed. Ouchi discloses attaching discrete members 5, 6 in a machine direction on separate advancing continuous carrier webs as claimed. The strips 5, 6 are attached simultaneously to carrier webs 16, 11. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Yeager with connecting fastener means and carrier web advancing direction means as taught by Ouchi to automate the operation of attaching discrete members to advancing webs in a continuous fashion to expedite the manufacturing operation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene L Kim whose telephone number is 703 308-1886. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 8 a.m. to 6 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 703 308-2187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/678,890

Art Unit: 3721

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eugene L Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit 3721